PECHWED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

ON MAY 2 8 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIC

OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of)	
)	CC Docket No. 92-237
Administration of the North American Numbering Plan)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

U S WEST, INC. FURTHER REPLY COMMENTS

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

U S WEST, Inc. ("U S WEST") hereby responds to the further comments filed in the above-referenced docket, concerning the six-year transition for the expansion from three to four digits of Feature Group D carrier identification codes ("CIC"). The majority of commenters agree with U S WEST that ending the permissive dialing period earlier than the six years initially proposed by the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") is necessary in order to meet the increasing need for CICs resulting from the enactment of the 1996

re di caesacció D+Y

Comments filed herein, May 21, 1996, include: AT&T Corp. ("AT&T"); Bell Atlantic; BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"); Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("Cincinnati Bell"); GTE Service Corporation ("GTE"); The NYNEX Telephone Companies ("NYNEX"); Pacific Telesis Group ("PacTel"); SBC Communications Inc. ("SBC") and U S WEST.

² <u>Public Notice</u>, <u>Further Comments</u>, <u>Carrier Identification Codes</u>, CC Docket No. 92-237, DA 96-678, rel. Apr. 30, 1996.

Telecommunications Act.³ Of most immediate concern to commenters, however, is the lifting of the one-to-an-entity restriction currently placed on the distribution of CICs. These reply comments underscore the need to lift that restriction.

II. THE RESTRICTION ON CICS MUST END IMMEDIATELY

As U S WEST discussed in its further comments, pursuant to Commission directive, the North American Numbering Plan Administration ("NANPA") is currently prohibited from assigning a CIC to any entity that already holds one or more codes. Because such a policy poses a barrier to new competitive entry, U S WEST urged the Commission to lift the restriction immediately. No one opposed lifting the restriction, and in fact, the comments clearly support U S WEST's position.

Like U S WEST, AT&T notes that "the unique problem that triggered [] imposition [of the restriction] has been resolved." Moreover, AT&T demonstrates, as does U S WEST, that there is an immediate need for assignment of additional

³ Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) (or "1996 Act"). See BellSouth at 2; SBC at 4; GTE at 1-3; NYNEX at 3-4; Bell Atlantic at 1; PacTel at 5-6; Cincinnati Bell at 2-3.

⁴ U S WEST at 2. There is a limited exception to the restriction. The NANPA may assign a CIC when an entity requires it to accommodate intraLATA presubscription. <u>Id.</u> at 3.

⁵ See AT&T at 7-8; BellSouth at 4-5; SBC at 3-4; GTE at 3-4; PacTel at 3.

⁶ AT&T at 4. See also U S WEST at 2; BellSouth at 5; PacTel at 2.

CIC codes, noting that existing carriers are unable to provide customers with additional benefits without the use of CICs. In the same vein, BellSouth adds that there must be a balance between CIC assignment and legitimate business needs consistent with industry guidelines and the 1996 Act. Further, continuation of the one-CIC-per-entity restriction also negatively impacts competition; new entrants are unable to take advantage of the full complement of routing and billing efficiencies enjoyed by multi-CIC competitors. For all of these reasons, U S WEST urges the Commission to lift the restriction on assignment of CICs immediately.

As a final matter. U S WEST notes that any remaining perceived need for the current restriction can be satisfied once the permissive dialing period ends. The current restriction was put in place in an attempt to conserve the limited number of CICs available during the transition from three- to four-digit CICs. If the permissive period were to end today, over 8,400 additional CICs would be available for assignment. Thus, once the permissive period ends, there will no longer be a need for a penurious conservation program. Accordingly, U S WEST urges the Commission to terminate the permissive period by the end of 1996.

⁷ AT&T at 7-8; U S WEST at 3-5.

⁸ AT&T at 7-8. <u>See also</u> PacTel at 3.

⁹ BellSouth at 5.

¹⁰ GTE at 3. See also PacTel at 3.

¹¹ See U S WEST at 8 n.16.

¹² Id. See also GTE at 3; PacTel at 3.

¹³ See U S WEST at 2; GTE at 4; SBC at 1.

III. CONCLUSION

The commenters in this proceeding make it clear that the current restriction on access to CICs must immediately be lifted. Commenters also agree that the goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 warrant shortening the initially proposed six-year permissive dialing period.

Respectfully submitted,

U S WEST, INC.

By:

Coleen M. Egan Helmreich

Suite 700

1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

(303) 672-2737

Its Attorney

Of Counsel, Dan L. Poole

May 28, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rebecca Ward, do hereby certify that on this 28th day of May, 1996, I have caused a copy of the foregoing U S WEST, INC. FURTHER REPLY COMMENTS to be served via first-class United States Mail, postage prepaid, upon the persons listed on the attached service list.

Rebecca Ward

*Via Hand-Delivery

*James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission Room 814 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Susan P. Ness Federal Communications Commission Room 832 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Rachelle B. Chong Federal Communications Commission Room 844 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Geraldine Matise Federal Communications Commission Room 235 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Network Services Division Federal Communications Commission Room 235 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 (2 copies)

*Regina M. Keeney Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *David Ward Federal Communications Commission Room 210N 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Mary DeLuca Federal Communications Commission Room 210R 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Elizabeth Nightingale Federal Communications Commission Room 210K 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *International Transcription Services, Inc. Suite 140 2100 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Durward D. Dupre J. Paul Walters, Jr. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Room 3520

Marlin D. Ard Nancy C. Woolf Pacific Telesis Group Room 1523 140 New Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94105

One Bell Center

St. Louis, MO 63101

Julia A. Waysdorf Swidler & Berlin, Chartered Suite 300 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007

Loretta J. Garcia Donald J. Elardo MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 James D. Ellis Robert M. Lynch David F. Brown SBC Communications, Inc. Room 1254 175 East Houston San Antonio, TX 78205

Margaret E. Garber Pacific Telesis Group 4th Floor 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Campbell Ayling NYNEX Corporation 1111 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604

Michael G. Hoffman VarTec Telecom, Inc. 3200 West Pleasant Run Road Lancaster, TX 75146

TCGI

David J. Gudino GTE Service Corporation Suite 1200 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Thomas E. Taylor Christopher J. Wilson Frost & Jacobs 2500 Central Trust Center 201 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

John M. Goodman Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1133 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

(CC92237D.CH/lh) Last Update: 5/24/96 M. Robert Sutherland Theodore R. Kingsley BellSouth Corporation Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-2641

Judy Sello Mark C. Rosenblum Roy E. Hoffinger AT&T Corp. Room 3244J1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920